

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
AT KNOXVILLE**

ROBERT CHAPMAN,	)	
	)	Case No. 3:17-cv-452
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	)	
	)	Judge Travis R. McDonough
v.	)	
	)	Magistrate Judge H. Bruce Guyton
REED DIXON,	)	
	)	
<i>Defendant.</i>	)	

---

**ORDER**

---

On October 24, 2017, United States Magistrate Judge H. Bruce Guyton filed his Report and Recommendation (Doc. 3) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Magistrate Judge Guyton recommended that Plaintiff’s complaint be dismissed due to jurisdictional deficiencies based on statute of limitations and judicial immunity. (Doc. 3.)

Neither party has filed any objection to Magistrate Judge Guyton’s Report and Recommendation.<sup>1</sup> Nevertheless, the Court has conducted a reviewed the Report and Recommendation, as well as the record, and it agrees with Magistrate Judge Guyton’s well-reasoned conclusions.

---

<sup>1</sup> Magistrate Judge Guyton specifically advised Plaintiff that he had fourteen days in which to object to the Report and Recommendation and that failure to do so would waive his right to appeal. (Doc. 3, at 5 n.3); *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2); *see also* *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 148–51 (1985) (noting that “[i]t does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate’s factual or legal conclusions, under a *de novo* or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings”). Even taking into account the three additional days for service provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(d), the period in which Plaintiff could timely file any objections has now expired.

Accordingly, the Court will: (1) **ACCEPT** and **ADOPT** Magistrate Judge Guyton's Report and Recommendations (Doc. 3); and (2) **DISMISS** this case **WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for jurisdictional deficiencies.

**AN APPROPRIATE JUDGMENT WILL ENTER.**

*/s/ Travis R. McDonough*

**TRAVIS R. MCDONOUGH  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**